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Summary

Persons living with HIV report experiencing disproportionately severe and chronic pain and worry. However, no objective biomarkers of these subjective experiences have been developed. To address the lack of objective measures and assist in treatment planning, this study examined whether digital biomarkers of pain severity, pain chronicity and worry could be developed, using passive wearable sensors that continuously monitor movement. Results suggest that digital biomarkers can predict pain severity (r(35) = 0.690), pain chronicity (74.63% accuracy) and worry severity (r(65) = 0.642) with high precision, suggesting that objective digital biomarkers alone accurately capture internal symptom experiences in persons living with HIV.

Method

Participants

A total of 68 patients (70.58% female, 100% Black, Mean\textunderscore age = 41.28, s.d.\textunderscore age = 8.11, 39.71% unemployed, 19.11% working part time, 41.18% working full time, 54.41% with chronic pain) living with HIV were recruited from Charlotte Maxeke Johannesburg Academic Hospital in South Africa (for more details, see Supplementary Material available at https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.2019.168). All participants had HIV for at least 1 year, but participants had been living with HIV for 7.48 years on average and had been receiving antiretroviral therapy for 5.18 years on average. Regarding the HIV disease severity, 67.64% of the participants had a CD4 (cluster of differentiation 4; a glycoprotein occurring on immune cells) count below 200, suggesting that the participants were likely to experience severe and life-threatening HIV progression. Participants were not eligible for the actigraphy study if they had a physical, neurological or respiratory complaint that impeded their ability to walk, or if they had an infant less than 1 year old as each of these conditions might also alter participants’ natural psychomotor and sleep patterns. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients. The Human Research Ethics Committee (Medical) of the University of the Witwatersrand (clearance no: M140538) approved the following data collection.

Symptom severity

Participants completed measures of symptom severity at baseline. To measure chronic pain, participants were asked whether they experienced pain most days over the past 3 months. Those with chronic pain also reported their worst pain severity using the Brief Pain Inventory. This instrument assesses the worst pain severity on an 11-point Likert scale from 0 (no pain) to 10 (the...
worst pain you can imagine\textsuperscript{9}). Worry symptom severity was assessed by asking how much participants worried about: (a) health, (b) money, (c) availability of food, (d) pain, (e) their family, and (f) fatigue on a 5-point Likert scale from ‘not at all’ to ‘nearly all the time’.\textsuperscript{9} These items were summed to create a dimensional measure of worry severity. All symptom severity data was used for analysis.

**Actigraphy**

One week of patient actigraphy data was collected in 1 min epochs, measuring the frequency and intensity of movement during day- and night-times.\textsuperscript{9} Actigraphs consist of accelerometers which record acceleration (and are designed to measure movement patterns of the individual). See Supplementary Material for more details.

**Analyses**

Digital biomarkers were created from the actigraphy data, using idiographic results from the Differential Time-Varying Effect Model (which measured lagged autoregressive relationships overall, as well as during day- and night-times), spectral analysis (which measured oscillation patterns overall, as well as during day- and night-times) and the distributions of the movements (i.e. mean, median, mode, skewness, kurtosis and quantiles of movement intensity overall, as well as during day- and night-times).\textsuperscript{4,11} Psychomotor patterns are thought to reflect movements predominantly during daytime periods or patterns consistent across both day- and night-time, whereas sleep patterns were thought to be measured specifically to night-time periods. Biomarkers were not tied to the specific study protocol (i.e. not related to the study day, day of the week or day of the year), increasing the likelihood that these measurements would be more broadly generalisable. Extreme gradient boosting (a machine learning method) with an ensemble approach was used to analyse the data. Leave-one-out cross-validation was used to control for over-fitting. Primary outcomes were the correlations between predicted and observed worry and pain severity scores, and the kappa agreement between the predicted versus observed chronic pain status.

**Results**

The correlation between predicted and observed values was strong for both the worst pain severity ($r = 0.690$, 95% CI 0.472–0.828, $P < 0.001$) and worry symptom severity ($r = 0.642$, 95% CI 0.476–0.764, $P < 0.001$) (Fig. 1). Predicted and observed pain remained strong when predicted worry severity was controlled ($r = 0.640$, 95% CI 0.399–0.798, $P < 0.001$). The kappa agreement of predicted versus observed patients with chronic pain was moderate (kappa 0.485, accuracy 74.63%, $P < 0.001$, sensitivity 0.700, specificity 0.784).

**Discussion**

These findings demonstrate that objective passive movement data can be used to accurately detect pain symptom severity, pain chronicity and worry severity among persons living with HIV. These results suggest that objective psychomotor and sleep patterns may be used to accurately and objectively detect pain and worry severity and chronicity. These results are particularly notable given that symptom monitoring is important in delivering optimal care to this patient population. As anxiety and mood are centrally important in HIV disease management,\textsuperscript{2} these results may have important implications towards pain management and care in this patient population. Nevertheless, the current work has limitations: we were unable to assess symptom changes across time (given the cross-sectional nature of the self-report measures) and we do not know if changes in treatment planning may result if medical providers are provided with this information (i.e. reduce unnecessary increases in opioid dosages and ultimately limit opioid-use disorders among people with HIV).\textsuperscript{6} Future work should conduct randomised controlled trials to determine whether using these objective digital biomarkers could effectively direct persons living with HIV to specific psychopharmacological therapies or supportive psychotherapies instead of opioid treatments, compared with more traditional self-report methods alone (i.e. a checklist including symptoms of pain severity, depression, anxiety and substance use). Moreover, remote symptom monitoring based on objective digital biomarkers may support...
patient-centred outcomes by facilitating more timely intervention and allowing for more efficient use of HIV and other healthcare services in resource-limited settings.
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